Comparative computed tomography anatomy of the lacrimal drainage system in brachycephalic dog breeds

Sabine Sahr¹, André Dietrich², Eberhard Ludewig¹, Gerhard Oechtering¹



- [1] Department of Small Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Leipzig, 04103 Leipzig, Germany, sabine.sahr@web.de
- [2] Department of Distributed Systems, Faculty of Computer Science, Otto-von-Guericke-University Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany, dietrich@ivs.cs.uni-magdeburg.de



Purpose

This study evaluates the nasolacrimal drainage system (NDS) in three brachycephalic dog breeds in comparison with normocephalic dogs.



3D-illustration of the NDS of an English Bulldog (left) and a Rhodesian Ridgeback (right) (*3D-Viewer ImageJ*); In the English Bulldog the lacrimal canaliculi and initial part of the nasolacrimal duct (NLD) are directed inversely. The lacrimal canaliculi in the English Bulldog are longer than in the normocephalic dog. In Brachycephalics the NLD crosses beneath the upper canine root before entering the nasal cavity. 1a, upper lacrimal canaliculi; 1b, lower lacrimal canaliculi; 2, lacrimal sac; 3, nasolacrimal duct



The lengths of the separate parts of the NDS were measured with the program *ImageJ*. The different parts of the NDS were marked along their course. All straight distances between the different markers were added up to the overall length of one EB =NED glish build g; FB = French bulld g; NC = Normocephalics; IC = inferior canaliculi; SC = superior canaliculi; NLD = nasolacrimal duct; MDP=EPedian; 518 NLD = nasolacrimal duct; MDP=EPedian; 518 NLD = initial part of the nasolacrimal duct; MP+EP NLD = middle and end portion of the nasolacrimal duct; LC = lacrimal sac

EB

FB

18,2 17,0 14,4

16,3 16,7 15,2 5,45

56,4 43,5 39,5 95,7

Pug NC

7,33_м

Material and Methods

A computed tomographic-dacryocystography (CT-DCG) was performed in 51 brachycephalic dogs, consisting of 23 Pugs, 18 French Bulldogs and 10 English Bulldogs. Six normocephalic dogs of different breeds and body size served as a comparison. Two- and three dimensional images were obtained and evaluated. Several parameters (length, angulation, gradient) were used to describe the nasolacrimal drainage system and to quantify differences between the breeds. Furthermore several additional characteristics were analysed, including the relative position of lacrimal foramen and nasolacrimal ostium, crossing of

Three-dimensional illustration of the nasolacrimal drainage system (NDS) (*3D-Viewer ImageJ*); The perspective is represented by the head at the bottom of the picture. In Pugs the course of the NDS is more inversely directed than in the French Bulldog and the English Bulldog. 1, lacrimal canaliculi; 2, nasolacrimal duct



The gradient was obtained starting from the lowest point of the nasolacrimal duct (NLD) shortly before leaving the osseous lacrimal canal (thus near the accessory opening). The second measuring point is the peak of its middle portion. EB= English Bulldog; FB= French Bulldog; NC= Normocephalics

Using an application in *Gnu R 2.14.1* the lowest and highest points were determined in relation to the alignment of the hard palate (red triangle) (results unaffected by head positioning). The coordinates of the NLD are illustrated as grey, green and orange dots. The orange dots represent the lowest and highest points.

segment of the NDS.



(a) 3D-illustration of the accessory opening (white arrows); The viewing perspective is represented by the head at the bottom of the picture; 1a, upper lacrimal canaliculi; 1b, lower lacrimal canaliculi; 2, lacrimal sac; 3, nasolacrimal duct; (b) transverse CT-image showing contrast agent escaping through the accessory opening (white arrows) An accessory opening could be identified in all brachycephalics but one French Bulldog. It is located ventromedial to the root of the upper canine tooth, shortly after the NLD exits the bony canal and merges into the membranous middle portion.

Filling of the parts of NLD rostrally to the accessory opening (middle and end portion) in the brachycenphalic dogs;
in 54% accessory opening only



the nasolacrimal duct underneath the root of the upper canine tooth, the patency of the lacrimal drainage system and the presence of an accessory opening.

Discussion and Conclusion

While the length of the nasolacrimal duct is substantially reduced in brachycephalic dogs, their lacrimal canaliculi have much larger dimensions than those of normocephalic dogs.

Additionally varying parts of the nasolacrimal drainage system follow an inverse direction in short-headed dogs, giving the nasolacrimal system a characteristic U- or V- shaped appearance.

The nasolacrimal duct in brachycephalic dogs features a much steeper slope than in normocephalic dogs. However,

this gradient does not interfere with lacrimal drainage



The different shapes of the tear drainage system in a Rhodesian Ridgeback and three brachycephalic dog breeds; The values reflect the angulation of the NDS, measured between the upper lacrimal punctum, the backmost point of the nasolacrimal duct (vertex) and the nasolacrimal ostium.

 The NDS in normocephalic breeds has an obtuse-angled character. As opposed to this, brachycephalic dogs exhibit an acute-angled NDS, with the Pug showing the smallest values.

These values support the observed inversely directed course of the initial parts of the NDS in brachycephalic dog breeds.
The very small angle in Pugs shows that the NDS is severely clinched in the vertical plane with the lacrimal canaliculi and end portion of the NLD moving closer together.
Additionally the different angles quantify the characteristic shape of the NDS, resembling an 'L' in normocephalics and a 'U' in brachycephalic dogs. The clinched, markedly acute-angled appearance in Pugs resembles a 'V' rather than a 'U'.

detectable drainage route into nasal cavity (no contrast agent leaves NLD via Ostium nasolacrimale (ONL))
7 of 50 cases (14%) additional outflow via ONL



Either way, in all dogs the accessory opening represents the main outflow pathway for the contrast medium entering the nasal cavity. because of a consistently present accessory opening, being

the main or only outflow pathway in the brachycephalic dogs examined.

Literature

Breit S, Kunzel W, Oppel M. The course of the nasolacrimal duct in brachycephalic cats. Anat Histol Embryol. 2003 Aug;32(4):224-7.

Gelatt KN, Cure TH, Guffy MM, Jessen C. Dacryocystorhinography in the dog and cat. J Small Anim Pract. 1972 Jul;13(7):381-97.

Gionfriddo JR. The nasolacrimal system. In: Slatter DH, Textbook of small animal surgery. 3. ed: Saunders Elsevier; 2003.

Immler G. Untersuchungen über den Verlauf des Tränennasenganges beim Hund (Dissertation med. vet.). Wien: Veterinärmedizinische Universität Wien 1996.

Küpper W. Die Darstellung des Tränennasenganges bei der Katze. Kleintierpraxis. 1973;18:42-4.

Michel G. Beitrag zur Anatomie der Tränenorgane von Hund und Katze. Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift. 1955;62(33/34):347-9.

Nöller C, Henninger W, Gronemeyer DH, Hirschberg RM, Budras KD. Computed tomography-anatomy of the normal feline nasolacrimal drainage system. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2006 Jan-Feb;47(1):53-60.

Nöller C. Klinisch-funktionelle Anatomie und computertomographische Darstellung der Nase bei normo- und brachyzephalen Katzen (Dissertation med. vet.). Berlin: Freie Universität Berlin 2006.

Nykamp SG, Scrivani PV, Pease AP. Computed tomography dacryocystography evaluation of the nasolacrimal apparatus. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2004 Jan-Feb;45(1):23-8.

Petersen-Jones SM, Stanley R. Ocular Discharge. In: Peiffer RL, Petersen-Jones SM, editors. Small animal ophthalmology. 4. ed: Elsevier; 2009.

Rached PA, Canola JC, Schluter C, Laus JL, Oechtering G, de Almeida DE, et al. Computed tomographic-dacryocystography (CT-DCG) of the normal canine nasolacrimal drainage system with three-dimensional reconstruction. Vet Ophthalmol. 2011 May;14(3):174-9.

Schlueter C, Budras KD, Ludewig E, Mayrhofer E, Koenig HE, Walter A, et al. Brachycephalic feline noses: CT and anatomical study of the relationship between head conformation and the nasolacrimal drainage system. J Feline Med Surg. 2009 Nov;11(11):891-900.